IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

RONALD MARTEZ BROWN,

No. 3:18-cv-01260-SB

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

RICHARD IVES, TERRY UHRINAK, and DANIEL CHILDRESS,

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Beckerman issued a Findings and Recommendation [44] on October 16, 2020, in which she recommends that the Court grant Defendants' motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim and terminate the appointment of Plaintiff's pro bono counsel. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were

timely filed, the Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record de novo. United States v.

Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); see also United States v.

Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (de novo review required only for portions of

Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal

principles de novo, the Court finds no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Beckerman's Findings and Recommendation [44].

United States District Judge

Accordingly, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [32] is GRANTED and this case is DISMISSED

with prejudice. Because dismissal of the case moots the need to serve Daniel Childress, the Court

TERMINATES the appointment of pro bono counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 20, 2020

2 - ORDER